

Annexure B: Technical Evaluation Criteria for Finance Consolidation Solution

The following evaluation criteria is specific to the FCS solution and a technical threshold of 80% is required to proceed to the next stage of the process.

No	Tech Evaluation Criteria	Weight out of 100%	Scoring Guide	Evidence
1. Technical Implementations				
1.1	<p>Service provider has successfully implemented Finance Consolidation Solutions in three (3) large organisations.</p> <p>Large organization with an annual revenue of \geqR900 million and a minimum of four (4) integrated systems</p> <p>Three (3) signed client (on the company letterhead) reference letters are required as confirmation that work has been completed,</p> <p>Bidder signed client letter should include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual revenue; • Number and name of the integrated systems; and 	35%	<p>35= 3 signed client reference letters provided where the service provider has successfully implemented a Finance Consolidation Solution in large organisations with a annual revenue of \geqR900 million and a minimum of four (4) integrated systems including the names of the systems</p> <p>20= 2 signed client reference letters provided where the service provider has successfully implemented a Finance Consolidation Solution in large organisations with a annual revenue of \geqR900 million and a minimum of four (4) integrated systems including the names of the systems</p> <p>5=1 signed client reference letters provided where the service provider has successfully implemented a Finance Consolidation Solution in a large organisations with a annual revenue</p>	

No	Tech Evaluation Criteria	Weight out of 100%	Scoring Guide	Evidence
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Indicate the project completion date <p><i>Note: Bidder will score (0) points for requirement if insufficient information is provided, if there is no information and/or most of the information provided is irrelevant to the requirement.</i></p>		<p>of >=R900 million and a minimum of four (4) integrated systems including the names of the systems</p> <p>0= No signed letters have been provided. Bidder will score (0) points for requirement if insufficient information is provided, if there is no information and/or most of the information provided is irrelevant to the requirement.</p>	
2. Implementation Methodology				
2.1	<p>Service provider has provided a methodology that meets the requirements of the AGILE, PMBOK, PRINCE 2 or Devops methodology. This includes the following phases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Design phase Build Phase Deploy Phase Support Phase <p><i>Note: Bidder will score (0) points for requirement if insufficient information is provided, if there is no information and/or most of the information provided is irrelevant to the requirement.</i></p>	5%	<p>5= The service provider's methodology complies with the Agile, PMBOK, PRINCE 2 or Devops methodology that complies with all the four (4) Phases</p> <p>0= No implementation methodology has been provided. Bidder will score (0) points for requirement if insufficient information is provided, if there is no information and/or most of the information provided is irrelevant to the requirement.</p>	

No	Tech Evaluation Criteria	Weight out of 100%	Scoring Guide	Evidence
3. Integration				
3.1	<p>Service provider resource/personnel has five (5) years' experience and integration certification to/from SAP ERP using tools such as i.e. Web Services, PI and Web methods, ETL, API)</p> <p>Service provider to submit CV's of at least 2 SAP certificate Associates with the relevant certification on integration tools</p> <p><i>Note: CV's must be specific per technical scope and cannot be repeated for different scope items.</i></p>	20%	<p>20=2 CVs provided with five (5) years' experience and integration certification to/from SAP ERP using tools such as i.e. Web Services, PI and Web methods, ETL, API)</p> <p>10=1 CVs provided with five (5) years' experience and integration certification to/from SAP ERP using tools such as i.e. Web Services, PI and Web methods, ETL, API)</p> <p>0=0 No CVs and integration certificate provided, or CV's does not meet the required certification or only integration certificate provided</p>	
4. Infrastructure Support				
4.1	<p>Service provider has five (5) years' experience in developing solutions on Azure private cloud data centres.</p> <p>Service provider to submit three (3) use cases in a form of a letter where it</p>	10%	<p>10= 3 use cases from the customer where the service provider has developed a Finance Consolidation solution on Azure private cloud data centres.</p> <p>5= 2 use cases provided from the customer where the service provider has developed a Finance Consolidation solution on Azure private cloud centres.</p>	

No	Tech Evaluation Criteria	Weight out of 100%	Scoring Guide	Evidence
	successfully developed a Finance Consolidation solution on Azure private cloud data centres.		1= 1 use cases provided from the customer where the service provider has developed a Finance Consolidation on Azure private cloud data centres. 0 = No use cases provided.	
5. Project Management				
5.1	<p>Service provider resource/personnel has three (3) years' experience in project managing Finance Consolidation Solutions (FCS) projects.</p> <p>Service provider to submit a CV of a certified associate project managers (i.e. SAP activate, PMBOK, PRINCE or Agile)</p> <p><i>Note: CV's must be specific per technical scope and cannot be repeated for different scope items</i></p>	5%	<p>5=1 CV provided with (3) years' experience in project managing Finance Consolidation Solutions (FCS)-projects and Project Manager (i.e. SAP activate, PMBOK, PRINCE or Agile) certification.</p> <p>0=No CV's provided or submitted CV's do not have the required certification.</p>	
5.2	A project schedule is presented that conforms to the requirement of delivering the solution within a period of twelve (12) months.	10%	10 = A twelve (12) months or less twelve (12) project schedule has been provided that conforms to the requirement of delivering the solution within a period of twelve (12) months. The project schedule needs to cover all items in paragraph 6 of Annexure A In-	

No	Tech Evaluation Criteria	Weight out of 100%	Scoring Guide	Evidence
	The project schedule needs to cover all items in paragraph 6 of Annexure A In-Scope Summary excluding "Review of completed work against the design are excluded from this tender - this will be conducted by PwC.".		<p>Scope Summary excluding Review of completed work against the design are excluded from this tender - this will be conducted by PwC.</p> <p>0= No project schedule provided or the project schedule does not cover all In-Scope Summary items listed in paragraph 6 of Annexure A (excluding "Review of completed work against the design are excluded from this tender - this will be conducted by PwC". Bidder will score (0) points for requirement if insufficient information is provided, if there is no information and/or most of the information provided is irrelevant to the requirement.</p>	
6. Change Management				
6.1	<p>The service provider resource/personnel has a minimum of three (3) years' experience in conducting change management</p> <p>Service provider to submit 1 CV where there was a change management in delivering IT project.</p>	10%	<p>10 = 1 CV where the resource/personnel has provided a change management in an IT project and a minimum of three (3) years' experience in conducting change management</p> <p>0 = No CV submitted/provided and number of years not indicated.</p>	
7. Training				
7.1	The service provider resource/personnel have a	5%	5= 1 CV where training was conducted in an IT project and a minimum of three (3) years'	

No	Tech Evaluation Criteria	Weight out of 100%	Scoring Guide	Evidence
	<p>minimum of three (3) years' experience in conducting training in an IT project</p> <p>Service provider to submit 1 CV where training was conducted in an IT project.</p>		<p>experience in conducting training in an IT project.</p> <p>0 = No CV submitted/provided and number of years not indicated.</p>	