

Technical Functionality/Capability Questionnaire

Criteria	Sub-Criteria	Sub-Criteria Point Allocation	Maximum Scoring
Management CV's and Certified copy of professional affiliation of Key Personnel (Organogram)	Project Manager		
	No Submission / The Tenderer has submitted no information or inadequate information to determine a score.	0	5
	Staff has relevant qualification and certification with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project Management Experience < 1 year 	1	
	Staff has relevant qualification and certification with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project Management Experience ≥ 1 year < 3 years 	2	
	Staff has relevant qualification and certification with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project Management Experience ≥ 3 years < 5 years 	3	
	Staff has relevant qualification and certification with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project Management: Experience ≥ 5 years < 7 years 	4	
	Staff has relevant qualification and certification with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project Management Experience ≥ 8 years 	5	
	Senior Civil Engineer/Technologist		
	No Submission / The Tenderer has submitted no information or inadequate information to determine a score.	0	15
	Staff has relevant qualification and PR Eng./PR Tech Eng. Certification with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water Management/Water Engineering Experience < 2 years 	3	
	Staff has relevant qualification and PR Eng./PR Tech Eng. Certification with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water Management/Water Engineering Experience ≥ 2 years < 4 years 	6	
	Staff has relevant qualification and PR Eng./PR Tech Eng. Certification with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water Management/Water Engineering Experience ≥ 4 years < 5 years 	9	
	Staff has relevant qualification and PR Eng./PR Tech Eng. Certification with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water Management/Water Engineering Experience ≥ 5 years < 8 years 	12	
	Staff has relevant qualification and PR Eng./PR Tech Eng. Certification with	15	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water Management/Water Engineering Experience ≥ 10 years 		
Project Plan (Programme)	Project Plan not submitted	0	10
	The project plan has proposed project implementation duration of > 3 years and the submission is Level 1 schedule	2	
	Project plan has proposed project implementation within 3 years of target duration, submission of Level 2 schedule.	4	
	Project planning outcome are acceptable, project within 3 years of target duration, submission includes item 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3.	6	
	Project planning outcome are acceptable, project within 3 years of target duration, submission includes item 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4.	8	
	Project planning outcome are acceptable, project duration within the 3 years target duration, submission includes item 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5. and 3.6.	10	
Previous Experience	Bidder has not been awarded/undertaken any project where WDM/WC was undertaken; or the Bidder has not submitted the required information/cannot be rated.	0	30
	Bidder has provided award letter and reference certificate/letter indicating 1 project where WDM/WC services were rendered, and the project was rendered at bidder's risk.	5	
	Bidder has provided award letters and reference certificates/letters indicating 2 projects where WDM/WC services were rendered and at least 1 of the projects were rendered at bidder's risk.	10	
	Bidder has provided award letters and reference certificates/letters indicating 3-4 projects where WDM/WC services were rendered with at least 2 of the projects were rendered at bidder's risk.	15	
	Bidder has provided award letters and reference certificates/letters indicating ≥ 5 projects where WDM/WC services were rendered with at least 1 of the projects were rendered at bidder's risk.	20	
	Bidder has provided award letters and reference certificates/letters indicating ≥ 5 projects where WDM/WC services were rendered with at least 2 of the projects were rendered at bidder's risk.	25	
	Bidder has provided award letters and reference certificates/letters indicating ≥ 5 projects where WDM/WC services were rendered and at least 3 of the projects were rendered at bidder's risk.	30	
Method Statement	No submission / Method statement does not cover the relevant scope	0	40
	Bidder has demonstrated reasonable knowledge in the development of item 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3	8	
	Bidder has demonstrated reasonable knowledge in the development of item 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4.	16	

	Bidder has demonstrated reasonable knowledge in the development of item 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6.	24	
	Bidder has demonstrated good knowledge in the development of item 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6 and 5.7.	32	
	Bidder has demonstrated good knowledge in the development of item 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7 and 5.8.	40	
Total Weighting:			100

Minimum Technical Threshold that must be met in this evaluation stage is **70 points**. Bidders who do meet this minimum threshold will be disqualified from the bid evaluation process.