

**TPT RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION PROGRAM - TECHNICAL EVALUATION**

SCOPE OF WORK CLAUSE NUMBER	Execution according to Scope of Work		
	Requirement as per Scope of Work	Scoring Methodology and Point Allocation	POINTS ALLOCATION
<b>WEIGHTED TECHNICAL CRITERIA</b>			
	<b>Requirement as per Scope of Work</b>	<b>Scoring Methodology and Point Allocation</b>	
<b>3.a.</b>	Provide comprehensive methodology, without omission of any deliverables, as to how EACH of the requirements detailed under Section 3.a of the Scope of Work will be satisfied.	Comprehensive methodology PROVIDED, without omission of any deliverables, as to how EACH of the requirements detailed under Section 3.a. of the Scope of Work will be satisfied. Points allocated for each aspect of the section, as per below:  <b>2</b> - Monthly visits <b>2</b> - Quarterly tasks <b>2</b> - Tasks required twice per annum <b>2</b> - Annual tasks <b>2</b> - Tasks required twice per annum <b>0</b> points to be awarded, in each instance, wherever a comprehensive methodology has NOT been provided for any of the above deliverables.	<b>10</b>
<b>3.b.</b>	Provide comprehensive methodology, without omission of any deliverables, as to how EACH of the requirements detailed under Section 3.b of the Scope of Work will be satisfied.	Comprehensive methodology PROVIDED, without omission of any deliverables, as to how EACH of the requirements detailed under Section 3.b. of the Scope of Work will be satisfied. Points allocated for each aspect of the section, as per below:  <b>2</b> - Quarterly tasks <b>2</b> - Tasks required twice per annum <b>2</b> - Annual tasks <b>2</b> - Tasks required twice per annum <b>0</b> points to be awarded, in each instance, wherever a comprehensive methodology has NOT been provided for any of the above deliverables.	<b>8</b>
<b>3.c.</b>	Provide comprehensive methodology, without omission of any deliverables, as to how EACH of the requirements detailed under Section 3.c of the Scope of Work will be satisfied.	Comprehensive methodology PROVIDED, without omission of any deliverables, as to how EACH of the requirements detailed under Section 3.c. of the Scope of Work will be satisfied. Points allocated for each aspect of the section, as per below:  <b>2</b> - Quarterly tasks <b>2</b> - Tasks required twice per annum <b>2</b> - Annual tasks <b>0</b> points to be awarded, in each instance, wherever a comprehensive methodology has NOT been provided for any of the above deliverables.	<b>6</b>
<b>3.d.</b>	Provide comprehensive methodology, without omission of any deliverables, as to how EACH of the requirements detailed under Section 3.d of the Scope of Work will be satisfied.	Comprehensive methodology PROVIDED, without omission of any deliverables, as to how EACH of the requirements detailed under Section 3.d. of the Scope of Work will be satisfied. Points allocated for each aspect of the section, as per below:  <b>2</b> - Quarterly tasks <b>2</b> - Tasks required twice per annum <b>2</b> - Annual tasks <b>2</b> - Tasks required twice per annum <b>0</b> points to be awarded, in each instance, wherever a comprehensive methodology has NOT been provided for any of the above deliverables.	<b>8</b>
<b>3.f.</b>	Calibration of all terminals radiological monitoring equipment	<b>8</b> - The Service Provider to provide valid SANAS accreditation for the laboratory to be utilized for calibration of all terminals radiological monitoring equipment AND written confirmation from the laboratory in question that they are able to calibrate each of the pieces of equipment outlined under Section 3.f. of the Scope.  <b>0</b> - No SANAS accreditation AND / OR no written confirmation from the laboratory in question that they are able to calibrate the equipment outlined under Section 3.f. of the Scope.	<b>8</b>
<b>4.a.</b>	Provide two reference letters from two (2) different companies, relating to radiological protection services performed in the last 5 years.	<b>10</b> - Provided <b>two (2)</b> reference letters from two different companies, relating to radiological protection services performed in the last 5 years. <b>5</b> - Provided <b>one (1)</b> reference letter from a different company, relating to radiological protection services performed in the last 5 years. <b>0</b> - Provided <b>no</b> reference letters from a different company, relating to radiological protection services performed in the last 5 years.	<b>10</b>
<b>4.b.</b>	Provide a company profile demonstrating that the services required by TPT in this Scope of Work form part of the company's product and service offering.	<b>5</b> - Company profile demonstrating that the services required by TPT form part of the company's product and service offering PROVIDED <b>0</b> - Company profile demonstrating that the services required by TPT form part of the company's product and service offering NOT PROVIDED	<b>5</b>
<b>3 and 4.c.</b>	Provide a project schedule for one year, detailing how the stated project deliverables, in Section 3 and 4 of the Scope of Work, will be executed, as per stipulated required frequencies.	<b>5</b> - Project schedule for one year, detailing how the stated project deliverables, in Section 3 and 4 of the Scope of Work, will be executed, as per stipulated required frequencies PROVIDED. <b>0</b> - Project schedule provided does not meet prescribed project timeframes	<b>5</b>
<b>4.d.i and 4.d.ii.</b>	The proposal must contain the resume of the team undertaking the required services. Resumes to contain: I. previous radiological protection services work undertaken by each member of the team. II. Minimum of a Radiation Protection Officer qualification for each member of the team.	<b>20</b> - All members of team undertaking the work possess a minimum of a Radiation Protection Officer qualification AND have <b>more than 5 years</b> previous radiological protection services work experience <b>10</b> - All members of team undertaking the work possess a minimum of a Radiation Protection Officer qualification AND have <b>between 3 to 5 years</b> previous radiological protection services work experience <b>0</b> - All members of team undertaking the work possess a minimum of a Radiation Protection Officer qualification AND have <b>less than 3 years</b> previous radiological protection services work experience	<b>20</b>
<b>4.d.iii.</b>	The proposal must contain the resume of the team undertaking the required services. Resumes to contain: Southern African Radiation Protection Association (SARPA) membership for each member of the team.	<b>20</b> - Resumes for each team member contains Southern African Radiation Protection Association (SARPA) membership. <b>0</b> - Resumes for each team member does not contain Southern African Radiation Protection Association (SARPA) membership.	<b>20</b>
	<b>Score obtained (max 100)</b>		
	<b>A Tenderer is to obtain a minimum score of 80% to be considered technically acceptable, before moving on to financial evaluation.</b>		